

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/993,346	Applicant(s) EDWARDS ET AL.	
	Examiner David A. Lambertson	Art Unit 1636	

All Participants:

(1) David A. Lambertson.

(2) Larry Thrower.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 August 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney/agent was contacted regarding a preliminary amendment that was filed prior to the issuance of an Election/Restriction requirement, but was not considered in the Election/Restriction requirement because the preliminary amendment was not matched with the application prior to the mailing of the Election/Restriction requirement. A message was left with Applicant's attorney/agent indicating that the preliminary amendment was entered, cancelling the claims that were elected in response to the Election/Restriction requirement, and that the single claim indicated in the preliminary amendment would be examined on the merits in the absence of a response. No response was issued, indicating that the remaining claim would be examined on the merits..